



CORPORATE SERVICES DEPARTMENT
Caroline Holland - Director

Democratic Services
London Borough of Merton
Merton Civic Centre
Morden, Surrey SM4 5DX

Direct Line: 020 8545 3616

Email:
democratic.services@merton.gov.uk

Date: 24 November 2014

Dear Councillor

Notification of a non-key Decision taken by the Cabinet Member for Environmental Sustainability and Regeneration

The attached decision was the decision taken by the **Cabinet Member for Environmental Sustainability and Regeneration** of with regard to **Wimbledon Area Traffic Scheme (Amended)** and will be implemented at noon on Thursday 27 November 2014 unless a call-in request is received.

The call-in form is attached for your use if needed and refers to the relevant sections of the constitution.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Caroline Holland".

Yours sincerely

Democracy Services

NON-KEY DECISION TAKEN BY A CABINET MEMBER UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY

See over for instructions on how to use this form – all parts of this form must be completed. Type all information in the boxes. The boxes will expand to accommodate extra lines where needed.

1. Title of report and reason for exemption (if any)

Non Key Delegation Wimbledon Area Traffic Scheme (Amended)

2. Decision maker

Andrew Judge, Cabinet Member for Environmental Sustainability and Regeneration

3. Date of Decision

13th November 2014

4. Date report made available to decision maker

Thursday, 13th November 2014

5. Date report made publicly available

6. Decision

Following a meeting and agreement with Ward Councillors on the results of the informal consultation for the Wimbledon Area Traffic Scheme (WATS) carried out in March 2014 approves the items below:

- A.** Not to proceed with the proposed traffic calming measures in Ridgway,
- B.** Not to proceed with the traffic calming measures in Woodhayes Road,
- C.** Not to proceed with the traffic calming measures and cycle track in Southside Common,
- D.** Approve officers carrying out a statutory consultation on the proposed replacement of the speed cushions in Ridgway Place with sinusoidal road humps.
- E.** Approve officers carrying out a statutory consultation for an experimental 6' - 6" (2.0 metres) width restriction in Belvedere Grove.
- F.** Approve officers carrying out a statutory consultation for an experimental 7' - 0" (2.1 metres) width restriction in Belvedere Drive.

7. Reason for decision

There was insufficient support in the consultation from residents to proceed A-C. In respect of the Ridgeway measures (A) these were devised as a complete scheme, so that although there was limited support for one kind of measure (the creation of raised speed tables for 4 pedestrian crossings in the vicinities of the junctions of Rydon Mews, Edge Hill, Thornton Road and Homefield Road) the professional advice is that that they would only work as part of a wider scheme for which there is insufficient support. The different widths of E and F accommodates the need for emergency vehicles to pass through the width restriction in Belvedere Drive.

Alternative options considered and why rejected


Proceeding with no measures at all would not meet the acknowledged concerns insofar as they relate to D to F for which there was sufficient local support to proceed.

8. Documents relied on in addition to officer report

Wimbledon Traffic Individual Responses Analysis

9. Declarations of Interest

None

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Andrew Judge". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looped 'J' at the end.

Merton Council - call-in request form

1. Decision to be called in: (required)

--

2. Which of the principles of decision making in Article 13 of the constitution has not been applied? (required)

Required by part 4E Section 16(c)(a)(ii) of the constitution - tick all that apply:

(a) proportionality (i.e. the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome);	
(b) due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers;	
(c) respect for human rights and equalities;	
(d) a presumption in favour of openness;	
(e) clarity of aims and desired outcomes;	
(f) consideration and evaluation of alternatives;	
(g) irrelevant matters must be ignored.	

3. Desired outcome

Part 4E Section 16(f) of the constitution- select one:

(a) The Panel/Commission to refer the decision back to the decision making person or body for reconsideration, setting out in writing the nature of its concerns.	
(b) To refer the matter to full Council where the Commission/Panel determines that the decision is contrary to the Policy and/or Budget Framework	
(c) The Panel/Commission to decide not to refer the matter back to the decision making person or body *	
* If you select (c) please explain the purpose of calling in the decision.	

4. Evidence which demonstrates the alleged breach(es) indicated in 2 above (required)

Required by part 4E Section 16(c)(a)(ii) of the constitution:

5. Documents requested

6. Witnesses requested

7. Signed (not required if sent by email):

8. Notes

Call-ins must be supported by at least three members of the Council
(Part 4E Section 16(c)(a)(i))

The call in form and supporting requests must be received by by 12 Noon on
the third working day following the publication of the decision
(Part 4E Section 16(c)(a)(iii)).

The form and/or supporting requests must be sent **EITHER** by email from a
Councillor's email account (no signature required) to
democratic.services@merton.gov.uk **OR** as a signed paper copy
(Part 4E Section 16(c)(a)(iv)) to the Assistant Head of Democracy, 8th floor,
Civic Centre, London Road, Morden SM4 5DX.

For further information or advice contact the Assistant Head of Democracy on
020 8545 3361